

Title:

Patients' characteristics and raisons for self-referral to emergency department at Robert Mangaliso Sobukwe Hospital Kimberley with non-urgent conditions.

Authors: Dr. AA Fagbiye, Dr. T.Habib, Prof. WJ Steinberg, Mr. FC. Van Rooyen.



Aim:

This study aimed to describe patients' characteristics and reasons for self-referral to RMS Hospital emergency department Kimberley with non-urgent conditions from May to July 2021.

Objectives:

> Describe the demographic characteristics of patients who self-refer to RMS Hospital emergency department Kimberley for non-urgent conditions from May to July 2021

> Describe the reasons of patients for self-referring to RMS Hospital emergency department Kimberley with non-urgent conditions from May to July 2021

Introduction:

The use of emergency services by self-referred, non-urgent patients has been discussed in many studies, and has been portrayed to have negative impact on health service delivery^{3-7.}

There has been growing concerns about long waiting times and patients' dissatisfaction about healthcare delivery in south Africa healthcare system^{8.} Robert Mangaliso Sobukwe Hospital emergency department share similar thoughts, this is mostly criticised, and attributed to the presence of self-referred, nonurgent patients in the emergency department rather than using the primary healthcare clinics.

It became inevitable to conduct a research to study the characteristics and reasons for self-referred, non-urgent patients who present to the emergency department, rather than the primary healthcare clinics, thus recommendation and possible interventions can be made to address the findings obtained.



Methodology:

Study design: Cross-sectional descriptive study, conducted between 1st May and, 31st July 2021.

Setting: The emergency department of Robert Mangaliso Sobukwe Hospital, Kimberley, Northern Cape, has two emergency sections, Gateway center(GWC), run by family physicians, and emergency center(EC), run by emergency physicians.

Study population: Patients 18 years or older, "triaged as green codes ", who presented without referral letters to family medicine-run Gateway center at the Hospital, within the study period.

Sample size: The initial intended sample size for this study was 1200, but this study was done during COVID, the average monthly attendance at gateway center was 2000 patients. The sample size was thus recalculated at 323 with Raosoft at 95% confidence interval and an error margin of 5%. The study recruited 331 participants.

Study tool: Questionnaires were utilised to collect data from consented participants and, the results analysed using SAS software version 9.4, from the SAS institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA.

FAMILY PHYSICIANS

25th National Congress Integrating Primary Care – creating a more connected health and care system.

Results:

- Characteristics
- A total of 331 participants were included. The mean age was 40 years (SD ±16.36). Males (n=331, 60.12%) outnumbered females.
- Most of the participants were ≤45 years old (68.28%), single (51.66%), unemployed (54.68%).

TABLE 1: Demographic characteristics of participants (n=331)

Demographic characteristics	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%
Gender		
Male	199	60.12
Female	131	39.58
Other	1	0.30
Age		
18-29	117	35.35
30-45	109	32.93
46-59	54	16.31
60+	51	15.41
Marital status		
Single	171	51.66
Living together	35	10.57
Married	93	28.10
Separated	8	2.42
Divorced	24	7.25
Employment status		
Employed/self-employed	150	45.32
Unemployed	181	54.68
Social grant recipients		
Yes	93	28.10
No	238	71.90
Had chronic medical condition(s)		
Yes	124	37.46
No	207	62.54
Taking chronic medication(s) [†]		
Yes	106	85.48
No	18	24.52
Had medical aid plan		
Yes	25	7.55
No	306	92.45
Had access to Primary Healthcare clinic		
Yes	291	87.92
No	40	12.08
Also attend the local PHC clinic for medical needs		
Yes	224	67.67
No	107	32.33

+, n=124 (Participants having chronic medical conditions)



Results:

Reasons:

Participants who had no medical aid plans were (92.45%), and unable to afford a private doctor (90.03%).

Despite strong consensus on accessing primary health care clinics (87.92%) and staying closer to them (80.36%), they preferred emergency department visits for multiple reasons.

Most participants believed their medical conditions were serious enough to warrant a visit to the emergency department (93.66%); furthermore, they were more familiar with the services at hospital emergency department than their local clinics (75.45%).

TABLE 2: Reasons for RMSH casualty attendance by the participants. (n=331)

	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)	
No access to a family doctor/general practitioner			
Yes	298	90.03	
No	33	09.97	
RMSH casualty was closer to the participant's residence than the local PHC clinic/GP			
Yes	65	19.64	
No	266	80.36	
The participants attended a local clinic but were more familiar with resources at RMSH			
Yes	169	75.54	
No	53	23.66	
Not applicable	2	0.89	
Chronic medication ran out of stock at the local PHC clinic $(n=106)^{\ddagger}$			
Yes	13	12.26	
No	93	87.74	
Need for chronic script renewal (n=106) [‡]			
Yes	35	33.02	
No	71	66.98	
Need for special investigations			
Yes	235	71.00	
No	96	29.00	
Had social problems			
Yes	44	13.29	
No	287	86.71	
Perception of having a serious medical condition			
Yes	310	93.65	
No	21	06.35	
Need for a second opinion			
Yes	56	16.92	
No	275	83.08	

⁺, n=Total number of participants who attended a local clinic for medical needs in the past ⁺, n=Total number of participants on chronic medication



Discussion:

• Patients characteristics:

Young, single, males, unemployed

- Reasons for Emergency department's self-referral with non-urgent conditions:
 - No medical aid, non-affordability of private services, perceptions related to seriousness of illness, other social factors



Conclusion:

Most emergency service users for non-urgent needs were males, singles, unemployed patients, those without health insurance, and those unable to afford private doctor services.

The use of emergency department services by self-referred patients with non-urgent health conditions is attributed to several medical, psychosocial, and economic factors. Patients' perceptions play an important role in their decision to use emergency services. Despite having access to primary healthcare clinics, patients prefer emergency departments for non-urgent conditions.



References:

1. Dictionary C. Characteristic, <u>https://dictionary.cambridge.org/dictionary/english/chatacteristic (accessed 28-02-2020)</u>.

2. Merriam-webster. Non urgent: Definition & Meaning-Merriam -Webster. 11th edition ed. United states of America: Merriam webster Incorporated, 2022.

3. Gulacti U and Lok U. Non-urgent adult patients in the emergency department. *Turk J Emerg Med* 2018; 18: 123. DOI: 10.1016/j.tjem.2018.06.002.

4. Hugli OW, Potin M, Schreyer N, et al. [Emergency department overcrowding: a legitimate reason to refuse access to urgent care for non-urgent patients?]. Rev Med Suisse 2006; 2: 1836-1839.

5. Matifary CR, Wachira B, Nyanja N, et al. Reasons for patients with non-urgent conditions attending the emergency department in Kenya: A qualitative study. *African Journal of Emergency Medicine* 2021; 11: 113-117.

6. Murphy AW. 'Inappropriate'attenders at accident and emergency departments I: definition, incidence and reasons for attendance. *Family Practice* 1998; 15: 23-32.

7. Tsai JC-H, Liang Y-W and Pearson WS. Utilization of emergency department in patients with non-urgent medical problems: patient preference and emergency department convenience. *Journal of the Formosan Medical Association* 2010; 109: 533-542.

8. Beache SK and Guell C. Non-urgent accident and emergency department use as a socially shared custom: a qualitative study. *Emergency medicine journal* 2016; 33: 47-51.

9. Department of Health RoSA. Draft National Guideline for management of Patient Waiting Times at Health Facilities. 2019, January.

10. Lang T, Davido A, Diakité B, et al. Non-urgent care in the hospital medical emergency department in France: how much and which health needs does it reflect? Journal of Epidemiology & Community Health 1996; 50: 456-462.

11. Khan Y, Glazier RH, Moineddin R, et al. A population-based study of the association between socioeconomic status and emergency department utilization in Ontario, Canada. Academic Emergency Medicine 2011; 18: 836-843.

12. Kraaijvanger N, van Leeuwen H, Rijpsma D, et al. Motives for self-referral to the emergency department: a systematic review of the literature. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2016; 16: 685. DOI: 10.1186/s12913-016-1935-z.

13. Tsibolane PM. Attendance patterns of patients at a regional hospital casuality department in the Free State Province. 2014.



14. Backman A-S, Blomqvist P, Lagerlund M, et al. Characteristics of non-urgent patients: crosssectional study of emergency department and primary care patients. *Scandinavian journal of primary health care* 2008; 26: 181-187.

15. Davison AG, Hildrey A and Floyer M. Use and misuse of an accident and emergency department in the East End of London. *Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine* 1983; 76: 37-40.

16. Krakau I. Perception of health and use of health care services in a Swedish primary care district. A ten year's perspective. *Scandinavian journal of primary health care* 1991; 9: 103-108.

17. Boh C, Li H, Finkelstein E, et al. Factors contributing to inappropriate visits of frequent attenders and their economic effects at an emergency department in Singapore. *Academic Emergency Medicine* 2015; 22: 1025-1033.

18. Twomey M. Performance characteristics of the South African Triage Scale (Adult version). University of Cape Town, 2011.

19. Western Cape Government. The South African Triage Scale. 2012.

19. Moll van Charante EP, ter Riet G and Bindels P. Self-referrals to the A&E department during out-of-hours: patients' motives and characteristics. *Patient education and counseling* 2008; 70: 256265. 2007/12/08. DOI: 10.1016/j.pec.2007.10.012.

20. Buja A, Toffanin R, Rigon S, et al. Determinants of out-of-hours service users' potentially inappropriate referral or non-referral to an emergency department: a retrospective cohort study in a local health authority, Veneto Region, Italy. *BMJ open* 2016; 6: e011526.

21. Sciortino P, Sammut M and Galea MP. Non-urgent emergency department visits: the effect of having a regular family doctor. University of Malta, 2010.

22. Uscher-Pines L, Pines J, Kellermann A, et al. Deciding to visit the emergency department for non-urgent conditions: a systematic review of the literature. *The American journal of managed care* 2013; 19: 47.

23. StatsSA. Quarterly Labour Force Survey (QLFS)-Q3:2021, https://www.statssa.gov.za/?p=14957 (accessed 23-09-2022).

24. Unwin M, Kinsman L and Rigby S. Why are we waiting? Patients' perspectives for accessing emergency department services with non-urgent complaints. *International emergency nursing* 2016; 29: 3-8.

25. Mould-Millman N-K, Dixon JM, Burkholder T, et al. Validity and reliability of the South African Triage Scale in prehospital providers. *BMC emergency medicine* 2021: 21: 1-9.



Acknowledgements:

- My sincere gratitude and appreciation to the management of Robert Mangaliso Sobukwe Hospital, Kimberley, for giving me the opportunity to carry out this research on the hospital premises and, for their support in various capacities.
- I would like to show my appreciation to Dr. T. Habib, my academic and research supervisor, his support to the realisation of this research was immense, and I am grateful.
- To Prof. WJ Steinberg, I wish to express my gratitude, for his mammoth support and contributions to the successful completion of this research and for moulding me to be a better person by way of teaching and sharing his wisdom in many ways than one.
- My special thanks to Mr. FC Van Rooyen, the biostatistician who supported me in analysing the research data to a successful conclusion